

Why the TSA pat-downs and body scans are unconstitutional

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Jeffrey Rosen

Source The Washington Post

Date November 28, 2010

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/11/24/AR2010112404510.html>

Quote

“TSA Administrator John Pistole acknowledged in recent testimony that these "blob" machines, as opposed to the "naked" machines, are the "next generation" of screening technology. His concern, he said, is that "there are currently a high rate of false positives on that technology, so we're working through that." But courts might hold that, even with false positives, "blob" imaging technology that leads to a secondary pat-down is less invasive and more effective than imposing a choice between "naked" machines and intrusive pat-downs as primary screening for all passengers."

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position New procedures are justified on the topic TSA airport screening procedures controversy.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Why_the_TSA_pat-downs_and_body_scans_are_unconstitutional&oldid=13515"

This page was last edited on November 29, 2010, at 03:54.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.