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66 "There is strong Bush resistance to talks - especially direct talks - or sending a U.S. emissary along the lines of a Carter. And the chances of
Quote success are much slimmer now than under Clinton. But at some point, the White House will have to decide whether it wants to pursue the small chance of freezing Pyongyang's program, or at least limiting the number of weapons."
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This item argues for the position United States should negotiate with North Korea on the topic North Korean nuclear crisis.
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