

Why John Roberts's wise prudence was the wrong answer on abortion law

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Hugh Hewitt

Source The Washington Post

Date June 25, 2022

URL <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2022/06/25/john-roberts-chief-justice-dobbs-overrule-roe-abortion-rights/>

Quote

“ No written, explicit protection for abortion rights exists in the Constitution; nor did the court simply anticipate where state legislatures were headed, as it did in the *Griswold* case striking down state barriers to contraception or in *Obergefell*, which established the right to same-sex marriage. Nothing remotely approaching consensus developed on abortion because of the fierce, continuing debate about the status of the fetus/unborn child. This freighted argument must be settled, if ever, by elected representatives accountable to voters. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Why_John_Roberts%27s_wise_prudence_was_the_wrong_answer_on_abortion_law&oldid=33613"

This page was last edited on July 20, 2022, at 15:04.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.