

What kind of rogue-state dialogue?

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Times editorial board

Source The Washington Times

Date November 22, 2006

URL <http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/la-ed-iran21nov21,0,3834399.story>

Quote

“ While talks with Tehran and Damascus are unlikely to achieve very much, we have no objection in principle to the idea of talking to these governments. After all, to paraphrase Henry Kissinger, it makes little sense to talk only with people that we agree with. The real question is whether expanding dialogue with either of these governments is likely to yield peace or freedom in Iraq”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item takes a mixed stance on the position United States should negotiate with Iran on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

This item takes a mixed stance on the position United States should negotiate with Syria on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=What_kind_of_rogue-state_dialogue%3F&oldid=3952"

This page was last edited on November 24, 2006, at 17:37.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.