

Voting rights are still vulnerable

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Philadelphia Inquirer editorial board

Source The Philadelphia Inquirer

Date February 28, 2013

URL http://articles.philly.com/2013-02-28/news/37354746_1_clearance-requirement-rights-act-change-election-rules

Quote

“ The 2012 election also provided ample evidence that such protections are still needed. The Voting Rights Act played a role in successful efforts to prevent several states, including Pennsylvania, from implementing voter-identification laws that were expected to discourage minorities from showing up at the polls. And despite those efforts, there were still cases like that of the 102-year-old Florida woman who had to wait more than three hours to cast her ballot. Her state curtailed early voting, causing long lines at polling places. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court should strike down section 5 of the Voting Rights Act on the topic *Shelby County v. Holder*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Voting_rights_are_still_vulnerable&oldid=16402"

This page was last edited on March 5, 2013, at 16:13.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.