

Voting Rights Act isn't obsolete

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Baltimore Sun editorial board

Source The Baltimore Sun

Date February 26, 2013

URL http://articles.baltimoresun.com/2013-02-26/news/bs-ed-voting-20130226_1_photo-id-laws-minorities-voter-suppression

Quote

“ Indeed, the Justice Department has used Section 5 thousands of times over the years to reject changes to voting law that would discriminate against minorities. Photo ID laws are just the latest example. There have been efforts to rewrite district lines, registration procedures and other rules that would have had the practical effect of reducing minority participation. Shouldn't this be an easy call for a Supreme Court? It certainly has been for lower courts, and it would require a truly activist judge to overturn a measure that had such a long history and such overwhelming support by Congress

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court should strike down section 5 of the Voting Rights Act on the topic Shelby County v. Holder.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Voting_Rights_Act_isn%27t_obsolete&oldid=16403"

This page was last edited on March 5, 2013, at 16:19.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.