

United Kingdom general election, 2005 / Labour Party should be elected

< United Kingdom general election, 2005

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

Position: Labour Party should be elected

This position addresses the topic United Kingdom general election, 2005.

For this position

“ On May 5, 40 years after I first took out a membership card, it will be possible, for the first time since the 1945 Labor victory that threw out the Churchill Tories, to vote Labor on a point of principle. Sixty years is a long time to wait, but the struggle for Iraq has decided the matter.”

”

From Long Live Labor, by Christopher Hitchens (*Slate*, April 25, 2005) (view)

Against this position

“ The arrogance, carelessness with the truth, and flawed judgment he showed when misleading Britain into war is part of a pattern that goes all the way back to the Bernie Ecclestone affair, in 1997. This is the politician who promised to be 'purer than pure', but who has been up to his neck in sleaze ever since, from Ecclestone to the Hinduja and Lakshmi Mittal to Geoffrey Robinson. ”

”

From However you vote, give Mr Blair a bloody nose, by Daily Mail editorial board (*Daily Mail*, May 5, 2005) (view)

Mixed on this position

No results

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=United_Kingdom_general_election,_2005_-_Labour_Party_should_be_elected&oldid=5056"

This page was last edited on March 22, 2007, at 02:49.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.