

The individual mandate: It's constitutional

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Los Angeles Times editorial board

Source Los Angeles Times

Date December 15, 2010

URL <http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/editorials/la-ed-health-20101215,0,7566577.story>

Quote

“There's no question that healthcare is a form of interstate commerce subject to regulation by Congress. Nor is there any question that the adults subject to the individual mandate participate in that market, whether it be buying aspirin at a drugstore, visiting a doctor for a checkup or rushing to an emergency room for treatment. (The law exempts Christian Scientists and others who abstain from medical care for religious reasons.) The individual mandate affects how people pay for the care they consume, but it doesn't force them into the healthcare market — they're already there.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should not have been passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_individual_mandate:_It%27s_constitutional&oldid=13641"

This page was last edited on December 17, 2010, at 21:21.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.