The case against Brett Kavanaugh

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) San Francisco Chronicle editorial board

Source San Francisco Chronicle

Date October 4, 2018

URL https://www.sfchronicle.com/opinion/editorials/article/Editorial-The-case-against-Brett-Kavanaugh-13282785.php

Quote

Kavanaugh was allegedly drunk during his encounters with Ford and Ramirez, and his defensive, I-like-beer testimony on the subject last week was one of several instances in which he seems to have been less than candid under oath. His earlier sworn testimony about his receipt of information stolen from Democratic senators when he served in President George W. Bush's administration also strained credulity. So did his explanation of a series of coded references in his high school yearbook, a trivial matter that nonetheless underscored his readiness to lie to senators.

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Kavanaugh should be confirmed on the topic Brett Kavanaugh Supreme Court nomination.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_case_against_Brett_Kavanaugh&oldid=30516"

This page was last edited on December 28, 2018, at 23:23.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.