

The Right Troops in the Right Places

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Seth Moulton

Source The New York Times

Date September 15, 2006

URL <http://www.nytimes.com/2006/09/15/opinion/15moulton.html>

Quote

“ While consolidating bases is a short-term way to reduce troop requirements, fielding more adviser teams will eventually allow more Americans to come home. American troops embedded with the Iraqis they train usually require less support than conventional units; many rely on the Iraqis for food, shelter and basic defenses. Green Berets in 12-man teams have already replaced entire battalions of conventional forces in some Iraqi cities.”

The following other items refer to this item: Are We Winning in Iraq?

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Coalition troops should pull out on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

This item argues for the position United States should change its approach on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

This item argues against the position United States should increase troop levels on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The_Right_Troops_in_the_Right_Places&oldid=3664"

This page was last edited on October 10, 2006, at 15:30.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.