The Iran Option That Isn't on the Table

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Vali Nasr, Ray Takeyh

Source The Washington Post

Date February 8, 2007

URL http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/02/07/AR2007020702136.html

Quote

"Paradoxically, to liberalize the theocratic state, the United States would do better to shelve its containment strategy and embark on a policy of unconditional dialogue and sanctions relief. A reduced American threat would deprive the hard-liners of the conflict they need to justify their concentration of power. In the meantime, as Iran became assimilated into the global economy, the regime's influence would inevitably yield to the private sector, with its demands for accountability and reform."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should negotiate with Iran on the topic Iranian nuclear crisis.

This item argues against the position Economic sanctions should be imposed on Iran on the topic Iranian nuclear crisis.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=The Iran Option That Isn%27t on the Table&oldid=9276"

This page was last edited on March 30, 2009, at 12:23.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.