

# The Economist editorial board

This is the editorial board of [The Economist](#).

Political affiliation:

Items by this editorial board:

- [A harvest of disgrace](#) (Topic: [2007 U.S. Farm Bill](#), Position: [Bill should be passed](#), Stance: against)
- [An offer you can't refuse](#) (Topic: [Chrysler bankruptcy](#), Position: [U.S. government restructuring of Chrysler was correct](#), Stance: against)
- [Cap and trade, with handouts and loopholes](#) (Topic: [American Clean Energy and Security Act](#), Position: [Act should be passed](#), Stance: against)
- [Catastrophe looms](#) (Topic: [Darfur conflict](#), Position: [United Nations should send peacekeepers](#), Stance: for)
- [Hit him hard](#) (Topic: [Syrian civil war](#), Position: [United States should depose Bashar Assad](#), Stance: against)
- [Hit him hard](#) (Topic: [Syrian civil war](#), Position: [United States should intervene](#), Stance: for)
- [It's time](#) (Topic: [United States presidential election, 2008](#), Position: [Obama should be elected](#), Stance: for)
- [The case for staying on](#) (Topic: [Post-invasion Iraq](#), Position: [Coalition troops should pull out](#), Stance: against)
- [The return of economic nationalism](#) (Topic: [American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009](#), Position: [Act's "Buy American" provisions should be removed](#), Stance: for)
- [Which one?](#) (Topic: [United States presidential election, 2012](#), Position: [Obama should be elected](#), Stance: for)
- [Which one?](#) (Topic: [United States presidential election, 2012](#), Position: [Romney should be elected](#), Stance: against)