

Syrian chemical attack demands precise strike

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) USA Today editorial board

Source USA Today

Date August 27, 2013

URL <http://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2013/08/26/syria-chemical-attack-obama-red-line-editorials-debates/2704003/>

Quote

“ If and when an attack comes, it must be forceful enough to convince Assad that use of chemical weapons will weaken him, not help him. And it must be justified explicitly as retaliation for violation of international treaties on use of chemical weapons — not as an attempt to dictate the outcome of the civil war. A missile attack appears the likeliest and smartest option. It could be used to weaken Syria's air power, to destroy units that used the chemical weapons, to strike the weapons themselves, or to attack other targets. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

This item argues against the position United States should depose Bashar Assad on the topic Syrian civil war.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Syrian_chemical_attack_demands_precise_strike&oldid=16851"

This page was last edited on September 2, 2013, at 02:39.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.