

# Syria: The Only Red Line Should Be To Stay Out

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** Doug Bandow

**Source** Forbes

**Date** April 29, 2013

**URL** <http://www.forbes.com/sites/dougbandow/2013/04/29/syria-the-only-red-line-should-be-to-stay-out/>

**Quote**

“ Whatever America’s interest in the stability of Syria—which certainly is less than America’s interest in peace—there no longer is any stability to keep. Intervention today would mean joining a fratricidal conflict in which neither side is pure and future rounds of killing are likely. Americans would die for no good purpose—and probably keep on dying as part of an interminable occupation force. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

This item refers to the previous opinion item Obama should remember Rwanda as he weighs action in Syria.

---

Retrieved from

"[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Syria:\\_The\\_Only\\_Red\\_Line\\_Should\\_Be\\_To\\_Stay\\_Out&oldid=16510](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Syria:_The_Only_Red_Line_Should_Be_To_Stay_Out&oldid=16510)"

---

**This page was last edited on May 5, 2013, at 16:34.**

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.