

Supreme Court blots a stain

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Philadelphia Inquirer editorial board

Source The Philadelphia Inquirer

Date June 13, 2008

URL http://www.philly.com/inquirer/opinion/20080613_Editorial__Unlawful_Detention_and_Wiretapping.html

Quote

“ Even so, Bush fought hard against according even the basic legal rights granted an American under arrest. After two previous Supreme Court rulings tripped up the justice-lite system set up by Bush lawyers to put the detainees on trial, the president did the unthinkable: He convinced a compliant Congress to outlaw the federal courts from intervening under the Constitution's most fundamental human-rights precept - the writ of habeas corpus, which grants prisoners an impartial court review of their detention”

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Boumediene v. Bush.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Supreme_Court_blots_a_stain&oldid=7730"

This page was last edited on June 17, 2008, at 17:39.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.