

Ruling for the Law

This is the approved revision of this page, as well as being the most recent.

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The New York Times editorial board

Source The New York Times

Date 8/18/2006

URL <http://www.nytimes.com/2006/08/18/opinion/18fri1.html>

Quote

“ "But for now, with a careful, thoroughly grounded opinion, one judge in Michigan has done what 535 members of Congress have so abysmally failed to do. She has reasserted the rule of law over a lawless administration and shown why issues of this kind belong within the constitutional process created more than two centuries ago to handle them."

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Judge Taylor was right to rule program unconstitutional on the topic NSA warrantless surveillance controversy.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Ruling_for_the_Law&oldid=2725"

This page was last edited on August 28, 2006, at 21:05.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.