## Return of due process

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) San Francisco Chronicle editorial board

**Source** San Francisco Chronicle

**Date** June 30, 2006

**URL** http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/06/30/EDGDOILNJ71.DTL

Quote

"Much like the so-called "Patriot Act," the tribunals were created in haste following the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks. In that traumatic time, Congress was unwilling to challenge the president's call for such wide-ranging security measures. But there is no excuse for the Pentagon's treatment of the estimated 750 detainees, who have been held in the U.S. military prison in Cuba since 2002. Reports show our military using torture-like treatments such as solitary confinement, exposure to extreme temperatures and sleep-depriving noise and light."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Return of due process&oldid=6889"

This page was last edited on December 3, 2007, at 05:09.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.