

Rejecting Bolton's Sledgehammer Diplomacy

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Los Angeles Times editorial board

Source Los Angeles Times

Date July 27, 2006

URL <http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/la-ed-bolton27jul27,0,2819271.story?coll=la-opinion-leftrail>

Quote “U.N. members see American reform proposals not as ways to improve the organization but as hidden attempts to enhance U.S. power. This helps explain why Bolton has largely failed to achieve his stated goals — or much of anything else.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Bolton should be confirmed on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

This item argues against the position Confirmation should be filibustered on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Rejecting_Bolton%27s_Sledgehammer_Diplomacy&oldid=2818"

This page was last edited on September 1, 2006, at 23:04.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.