Red lines: Obama's costly bluster

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) New Hampshire Union Leader editorial board

Source New Hampshire Union Leader

Date August 29, 2013

URL http://www.unionleader.com/article/20130829/OPINION01/130829176/1004/opinion

Quote

The United States of America has no business attacking Syria. Our national security is not at stake. The one and only reason we are on the verge of war is because the President thought it personally advantageous to rattle the sabers just before the 2012 election. It is schoolyard diplomacy, but with real missiles.

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position United States should intervene on the topic Syrian civil war.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Red_lines:_Obama%27s_costly_bluster&oldid=16844"

This page was last edited on September 1, 2013, at 12:23.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.