

# Many dead ends in Iraq

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** Max Boot

**Source** Los Angeles Times

**Date** November 8, 2006

**URL** <http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/la-oe-boot28jun28,1,5095761.column?coll=la-news-columns>

**Quote**

“ “The only real hope of restoring order in the short term is to send American reinforcements. Unfortunately, pacifying the entire country would probably require 400,000 to 500,000 troops, an obvious nonstarter. A smaller number — 25,000 to 50,000 — might suffice to control Baghdad, but, in the current political climate, it seems unlikely that even that many will be sent.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Coalition troops should pull out on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

This item argues against the position Iraq should be split up on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

This item argues for the position United States should increase troop levels on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

---

Retrieved from "[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Many\\_dead\\_ends\\_in\\_Iraq&oldid=4019](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Many_dead_ends_in_Iraq&oldid=4019)"

---

This page was last edited on December 3, 2006, at 22:55.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.