

# Keystone XL's continued delay is absurd

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** The Washington Post editorial board

**Source** The Washington Post

**Date** April 23, 2014

**URL** [http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/keystone-xls-continued-delay-is-absurd/2014/04/23/81dab79c-c98c-11e3-95f7-7ecdde72d2ea\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/keystone-xls-continued-delay-is-absurd/2014/04/23/81dab79c-c98c-11e3-95f7-7ecdde72d2ea_story.html)

**Quote**

“ At this point, there is little doubt about the big picture. After two thorough environmental analyses, State Department experts determined that the pipeline's impact probably would be minimal, even on climate change-inducing carbon dioxide emissions. The economic rewards of extracting Canadian oil are too attractive and the options for getting it out of the country are too numerous. We would rather see Canadian crude traveling a well-built, well-regulated pipeline in the United States than on the rail cars, barges and ocean tankers that will move it until cheaper options inevitably come online. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Pipeline should be built on the topic Keystone XL pipeline.

---

Retrieved from

"[https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Keystone\\_XL's\\_continued\\_delay\\_is\\_absurd&oldid=27885](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Keystone_XL's_continued_delay_is_absurd&oldid=27885)"

---

**This page was last edited on February 25, 2015, at 21:22.**

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.