

Justice 5, Brutality 4

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The New York Times editorial board
Source The New York Times
Date June 13, 2008
URL <http://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/13/opinion/13fri1.html>

Quote

“ It was a very good day for people who value freedom and abhor Mr. Bush’s attempts to turn Guantánamo Bay into a constitutional-rights-free zone. The right of habeas corpus is so central to the American legal system that it has its own clause in the Constitution: it cannot be suspended except “when in cases of rebellion or invasion the public safety may require it.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Boumediene v. Bush*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Justice_5,_Brutality_4&oldid=7725"

This page was last edited on June 17, 2008, at 17:22.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.