## Judge Barrett showed she was learned in the law; that's not enough

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s)	Los Angeles Times editorial board
Source	Los Angeles Times
Date	October 16, 2020
URL	https://www.latimes.com/opinion/story/2020-10-16/editorial-amy-coney-barrett-confirmation-hearings-qualifications
Quote	In another universe, her qualifications might incline us to support her nomination despite our concerns that she might vote to undermine the right to abortion or make it harder for Congress and the states to deal with the proliferation of firearms. But the circumstances in which Barrett has been nominated by President Trump make discussion of her credentials or her judicial philosophy irrelevant. Confirming her now could politicize the Supreme Court beyond the possibility of repair.

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Barrett should be confirmed on the topic Amy Coney Barrett Supreme Court nomination.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Judge\_Barrett\_showed\_she\_was\_learned\_in\_the\_law;\_that's\_not\_enou gh&oldid=32086"

This page was last edited on October 26, 2020, at 23:35.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.