

John Bolton deserves to remain U.N. envoy

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Las Vegas Review-Journal editorial board

Source Las Vegas Review-Journal

Date August 4, 2006

URL http://www.reviewjournal.com/lvrj_home/2006/Aug-04-Fri-2006/news/8862932.html

Quote

“ So, will Democrats with broader ambitions -- such as Sen. Hillary Clinton -- now go through the exercise of a purely partisan filibuster to deprive the United States of a representative who, according to his U.N. colleagues, has been calm, firm, forthright and effective? ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Bolton should be confirmed on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

This item argues against the position Confirmation should be filibustered on the topic Confirmation of John Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, 2006.

This item refers to the previous opinion item Keep John Bolton at the United Nations.

This item refers to the previous opinion item Stick With Bolton: America could use stability at the U.N..

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=John_Bolton_deserves_to_remain_U.N._envoy&oldid=3094"

This page was last edited on September 17, 2006, at 19:35.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.