

Iran deal a gamble, but no deal would be worse

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Arizona Republic editorial board

Source The Arizona Republic

Date July 14, 2015

URL <http://www.azcentral.com/story/opinion/editorial/2015/07/14/iran-nuclear-deal-obama/30164395/>

Quote

“ The history of nuclear proliferation among nations that did not come to the bargaining table is not impressive. Would the world be a safer place if nations had recognized first India's and then Pakistan's determination to be nuclear powers? Or if they had understood better the nuclear obsession of North Korea's regime? Perhaps diplomacy would have changed nothing. But failing to negotiate ensured the ultimate result. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Plan is beneficial on the topic Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Iran_deal_a_gamble,_but_no_deal_would_be_worse&oldid=28078"

This page was last edited on July 17, 2015, at 22:23.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.