

In defense of health care law's 'essentials'

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Kathleen Sebelius

Source USA Today

Date January 3, 2012

URL <http://www.usatoday.com/news/opinion/forum/story/2012-01-03/sebelius-hhs-health-care-benefits/52358618/1>

Quote

“ Through these efforts, our ultimate goal is to make sure the insurance market works better for consumers. Insurance needs and health systems vary state to state, and experience tells us that the best way forward is not to mandate a one-size-fits-all answer. Instead, our approach gives states the flexibility to take their own paths, while ensuring they all end up in the best place possible: with an Affordable Insurance Exchange that offers access to comprehensive, quality, affordable health insurance to small business owners and individuals who currently have few good choices in an expensive and broken market. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should not have been passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=In_defense_of_health_care_law%27s_%27essentials%27&oldid=15169"

This page was last edited on January 5, 2012, at 13:37.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.