

# If we want to protect the Supreme Court's legitimacy, Kavanaugh should not be on it

---

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** Jennifer Rubin

**Source** The Washington Post

**Date** September 29, 2018

**URL** [https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/opinions/wp/2018/09/29/if-we-want-to-protect-the-supreme-courts-legitimacy-kavanaugh-should-not-be-on-it/?utm\\_term=.4ab425b3d79e](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/opinions/wp/2018/09/29/if-we-want-to-protect-the-supreme-courts-legitimacy-kavanaugh-should-not-be-on-it/?utm_term=.4ab425b3d79e)

**Quote** “ A good number of Americans already believe the Supreme Court is nothing more than a mini-legislature with two warring factions, fighting for that fifth seat to deliver “wins” for its side. Putting a judge on the Supreme Court who expressed hatred and resentment toward a wide swath of the Democratic Party would shred whatever is left of the court’s intellectual integrity. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Kavanaugh should be confirmed on the topic Brett Kavanaugh Supreme Court nomination.

---

Retrieved from

["https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=If\\_we\\_want\\_to\\_protect\\_the\\_Supreme\\_Court's\\_legitimacy,\\_Kavanaugh\\_should\\_not\\_be\\_on\\_it&oldid=30515"](https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=If_we_want_to_protect_the_Supreme_Court's_legitimacy,_Kavanaugh_should_not_be_on_it&oldid=30515)

---

This page was last edited on December 28, 2018, at 23:15.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.