

High Court should strike down shot mandates

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Detroit News editorial board

Source The Detroit News

Date January 5, 2022

URL <https://www.detroitnews.com/story/opinion/editorials/2022/01/06/editorial-high-court-should-strike-down-shot-mandates/9105746002/>

Quote “ Breakthrough cases are becoming more common, and vaccines alone will not stop the spread. A better approach would be to focus on ensuring states have adequate access to testing and the early virus treatments that are coming online. Such expansive federal mandates go against our system of federalism and our constitutional rights, and are unlikely to significantly slow the virus. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court should rule in OSHA's favor on the topic National Federation of Independent Business v. Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=High_Court_should_strike_down_shot_mandates&oldid=33452"

This page was last edited on March 2, 2022, at 23:39.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.