

Handguns Supreme

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Post editorial board

Source The Washington Post

Date June 27, 2008

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/06/26/AR2008062603605.html>

Quote

“ "But even granting his expansive view, a modest understanding of the judicial function would not have led to the outright cancellation of the District's laws. Every constitutional right -- whether the right of free speech or the right to be free from intrusive searches and seizures -- is subject to limitation or regulation." ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic District of Columbia v. Heller.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Handguns_Supreme&oldid=7822"

This page was last edited on June 27, 2008, at 15:55.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.