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**United States should not have signed agreement**

**For**

- Obama administration oblivious to Iran danger by Jack Kelly *(Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, December 6, 2013)* (view)
- Iran deal relies too much on trust by The Detroit News editorial board *(The Detroit News, November 27, 2013)* (view)
- Israel's Freakout, Explained by Yossi Klein Halevi *(The New Republic, November 26, 2013)* (view)
- Why the Iran deal infuriates America's allies by Benny Avni *(New York Post, November 26, 2013)* (view)
- Worse Than Munich by Bret Stephens *(The Wall Street Journal, November 26, 2013)* (view)
- A deal to regret by Boston Herald editorial board *(Boston Herald, November 26, 2013)* (view)
- 'Sucker's deal' by Charles Krauthammer *(The Washington Post, November 21, 2013)* (view)

**Against**

- Talk beats war: Give the Iranian deal a chance by Dan Simpson *(Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, December 2, 2013)* (view)
- Iran deal deserves a chance by Los Angeles Times editorial board *(Los Angeles Times, November 26, 2013)* (view)
Progress in Iran: Americans should appreciate the initial accord by Pittsburgh Post-Gazette editorial board (*Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, November 26, 2013) (view)

Iran deal is a diplomatic success story by Eugene Robinson (*The Washington Post*, November 25, 2013) (view)

To reach Iran deal, secret diplomacy that worked by David Ignatius (*The Washington Post*, November 25, 2013) (view)

We Have a Deal With Iran. A Good One. by Fred Kaplan (*Slate*, November 24, 2013) (view)