

For safety's sake, approve Keystone

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Star Tribune editorial board

Source Star Tribune

Date January 23, 2015

URL <http://www.startribune.com/opinion/editorials/289643371.html>

Quote

“ While pipelines are not without risk, they are widely considered the least risky option among the methods current used — trains, trucks and tankers — to move large volumes of crude. Because building Keystone would funnel more crude from Western Canada and North Dakota into pipelines and help reduce the amount traveling in Minnesota and elsewhere by train and other modes, Keystone XL should be approved. ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Pipeline should be built on the topic Keystone XL pipeline.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=For_safety%27s_sake,_approve_Keystone&oldid=27901"

This page was last edited on February 26, 2015, at 00:55.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.