

Food for thought

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Philadelphia Inquirer editorial board

Source The Philadelphia Inquirer

Date August 18, 2007

URL http://www.philly.com/inquirer/opinion/20070818_Editorial__U_S_Farm_Subsidies.html

Quote

“The House did sweeten the pot for conservation, renewable energy, nutrition and specialty crops - all good steps, which confronted reform-minded lobbyists with a tough choice. Should they settle for these modest gains, or keep pushing for systemic change against long odds? They should keep pushing. The Senate can and must do better than a \$286 billion bill that "promotes protectionism, overproduction and market interference," as Taxpayers for Commonsense says.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Bill should be passed on the topic 2007 U.S. Farm Bill.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Food_for_thought&oldid=7078"

This page was last edited on December 20, 2007, at 17:11.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.