

Fight for the 'public option'

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Philadelphia Inquirer editorial board

Source The Philadelphia Inquirer

Date August 24, 2009

URL http://www.philly.com/inquirer/opinion/20090824_Editorial__Fight_for_the__public_option_.html

Quote

“ A public plan would have real advantages. Because a government-run plan would not be profit-driven, it could offer lower premiums than many private plans. It probably could negotiate lower prices from health-care providers. And it would likely save the government money by providing a low-cost alternative for low-income people seeking to purchase insurance with the help of government subsidies. It would give consumers more choices.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should be passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Fight_for_the_%27public_option%27&oldid=11308"

This page was last edited on March 11, 2010, at 14:32.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.