

Eroding detainees rights

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Nat Hentoff

Source The Washington Times

Date October 30, 2006

URL <http://www3.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20061029-081248-7596r.htm>

Quote

“ "But now, since the new Military Commissions Act shuts off habeas-corpus petitions in our federal courts by lawyers for detainees on their conditions of confinement -- where coerced interrogations (that could include torture, but we'll never know) are permitted -- Hamdan was right: There is no law for these detainees. "

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

This item argues for the position Act should be overturned on the topic Military Commissions Act of 2006.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Eroding_detainees_rights&oldid=6235"

This page was last edited on July 16, 2007, at 16:26.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.