

[https://www.discoursedb.org/wiki/Edwards,\\_Pelosi\\_reveal\\_Dems%27\\_two\\_paths\\_on\\_health\\_care](https://www.discoursedb.org/wiki/Edwards,_Pelosi_reveal_Dems%27_two_paths_on_health_care)

# Edwards, Pelosi reveal Dems' two paths on health care

This is an opinion item.

**Author(s)** [The Dallas Morning News editorial board](#)

**Source** [The Dallas Morning News](#)

**Date** March 17, 2010

**URL** [http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health\\_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90a0.html](http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/opinion/editorials/stories/DN-health_0318edi.State.Edition1.27b90a0.html)

**Quote**

“ "Instead, the Slaughter plan would have the House vote only on eliminating offensive provisions like the "Cornhusker Kickback" and "Louisiana Purchase" in amendments while "deeming" the Senate bill to be passed, with no recorded vote. We can argue long into the night at the next tea (or coffee) party whether this is constitutional or that Republicans, when they ran the show, did the same thing. More important is that this is a dodgy tactic wholly unfit for a bill of such magnitude." ”

[Add or change this opinion item's references](#)

This item argues against the position [Act should be passed](#) on the topic [Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act](#).