

Cap-and-Trade: All Cost, No Benefit

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Martin Feldstein

Source The Washington Post

Date June 1, 2009

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/05/31/AR2009053102077.html>

Quote

“ "Americans should ask themselves whether this annual tax of \$1,600-plus per family is justified by the very small resulting decline in global CO₂. Since the U.S. share of global CO₂ production is now less than 25 percent (and is projected to decline as China and other developing nations grow), a 15 percent fall in U.S. CO₂ output would lower global CO₂ output by less than 4 percent. Its impact on global warming would be virtually unnoticeable." ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should be passed on the topic American Clean Energy and Security Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Cap-and-Trade:_All_Cost,_No_Benefit&oldid=9692"

This page was last edited on June 28, 2009, at 23:09.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.