

Behind US v. Arizona: pure politics

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Kris Kobach

Source New York Post

Date July 12, 2010

URL http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/behind_us_arizona_pure_politics_doTiHt4iEpjt4mISMPMvIO

Quote

“ "Because other kinds of pre-emption don't apply, the department can only win if it can show a conflict with federal law. But there is no federal statute that Arizona's law conflicts with. The department's legal filings don't offer any answer to this fundamental problem. The opinions of the Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, Ninth and Tenth Circuits of the US Court of Appeals (which are all of the circuits that have addressed the issue) also support the authority of Arizona to enact its law." ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Lawsuit was justified on the topic United States of America v. Arizona.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Behind_US_v._Arizona:_pure_politics&oldid=12421"

This page was last edited on July 13, 2010, at 11:39.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.