

Banning Logic

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Jacob Sullum

Source New York Post

Date April 29, 2007

URL http://www.nypost.com/seven/04292007/postopinion/opedcolumnists/banning_logic_opedcolumnists_jacob_sullum.htm?page=0

Quote “Such meddling is unconstitutional as well as unwise. The constitutional pretext for the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act, as with so much else that Congress does, is the authority to regulate interstate commerce. But if an abortion qualifies as interstate commerce, it's hard to see how anything is beyond the federal government's purview.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Gonzales v. Carhart*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Banning_Logic&oldid=5558"

This page was last edited on April 30, 2007, at 04:57.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.