

Bad medicine: Stimulus bill is sickening

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) New Hampshire Union Leader editorial board

Source New Hampshire Union Leader

Date January 30, 2009

URL <http://unionleader.com/article.aspx?articleId=2a505eff-803e-40d6-a1cc-4a5976b88449>

Quote

“ "The Wall Street Journal calculated that only 12 percent of the bill's provisions can accurately be called stimulative. The rest is simply being thrown at favored constituencies by Congress. The bill even includes a provision forbidding the use of foreign steel in the construction projects it funds. Does no one in Congress remember Smoot-Hawley?" ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should be passed on the topic American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Bad_medicine:_Stimulus_bill_is_sickening&oldid=8937"

This page was last edited on February 1, 2009, at 20:47.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.