

A limited and humane decision

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Times editorial board

Source The Washington Times

Date April 19, 2007

URL <http://www3.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20070418-093634-4882r.htm>

Quote

“ Thirty states have tried to ban partial-birth abortion; courts have blocked the great majority of them. In the broader public, majorities consistently oppose the practice in polls. Far from imposing itself, in this case Congress enacts the will of the people previously frustrated by the courts and activist groups.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Gonzales v. Carhart*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_limited_and_humane_decision&oldid=6266"

This page was last edited on July 16, 2007, at 16:27.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.