## A limited and humane decision

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Times editorial board

**Source** The Washington Times

**Date** April 19, 2007

**URL** http://www3.washingtontimes.com/op-ed/20070418-093634-4882r.htm

Quote

"Thirty states have tried to ban partial-birth abortion; courts have blocked the great majority of them. In the broader public, majorities consistently oppose the practice in polls. Far from imposing itself, in this case Congress enacts the will of the people previously frustrated by the courts and activist groups."

"

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Gonzales v. Carhart.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A limited and humane decision&oldid=6266"

This page was last edited on July 16, 2007, at 16:27.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.