

A Sane Decision

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Walter M. Weber

Source National Review

Date April 20, 2007

URL <http://article.nationalreview.com/?q=MjYzZGVkMTExZDFhY2JiNTMyMDJmNzFmMjJlMjRmZDQ=>

Quote

“ For far too long abortionists have been treated as exempt from the rules that apply to other physicians. Not only do they literally “get away with murder,” they also frequently get away with malpractice. Courts, meanwhile, have tended to bow to whatever “Doctor” says: if the doctor supports or practices abortion, that doctor’s testimony about what is or is not a valid safety concern has been treated as virtually infallible.”

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Gonzales v. Carhart*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_Sane_Decision&oldid=5340"

This page was last edited on April 20, 2007, at 21:16.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.