

A Blow to Brown

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The Washington Post editorial board

Source The Washington Post

Date June 29, 2007

URL <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/06/28/AR2007062801969.html>

Quote

“ "The court has recognized diversity as a compelling interest in the context of higher education, as recently as in its 2003 ruling upholding an affirmative action program at the University of Michigan Law School. If anything, the argument for diversity is even more compelling for younger children, whose attitudes about race are being formed and future educational opportunities determined."

”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic *Parents v. Seattle*.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=A_Blow_to_Brown&oldid=6195"

This page was last edited on July 11, 2007, at 22:58.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.