2006 Israel-Lebanon conflict / United States should take Israel's side

< 2006 Israel-Lebanon conflict This is the approved revision of this page, as well as being the most recent.

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

Position: United States should take Israel's side

This position addresses the topic 2006 Israel-Lebanon conflict.

For this position

No results

Against this position

"Any diplomatic efforts this week to bring about a cease-fire and install a multinational force in south Lebanon will succeed in the long run only if they respond to the demands and rights of Lebanese and Israelis alike."

,,

From It's not only about Israel, Ms. Rice, by Rami G. Khouri (*International Herald Tribune*, July 25, 2006) (view)

"The real sense in which the United States -- and the United Kingdom as facilitator -- lost ground in the Israel-Lebanon-Hezbollah war is in our political and military support of Israel in the fray, throwing away any semblance of even semi-neutrality."

9

From After the cease-fire, war smolders, by Dan Simpson (*Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, August 16, 2006) (view)

Mixed on this position

"We are left then with two distinct areas where U.S. interests might diverge with those of Israel. First, it makes U.S. goals in Iraq harder to achieve. Second, it may give Iran something more valuable than a guerilla force in Lebanon while increasing the total population of persons motivated to do harm to do Americans and American interests."

"

Retrieved from

 $"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=2006_Israel-Lebanon_conflict_/_United_States_should_take_Israel\%27 s_side\&oldid=2065"$

This page was last edited on August 10, 2006, at 20:13.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.